

46-92

837

G. R. S. IX-5]

1891 92

Previous references.

1898 98

File No. 14-91

86

19-29

191 .

1-18

Serial Nos.

(Mund)

Later references.

86

51-52

49-50

45-48

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos.

Major - Part B for Oct^r 1901

SUBJECT.

Mr Anwar Rai's claim ^{for} the allowance
for having acted as ~~an~~ The Vice President
in the Bangalore Municipalities

Indexed
20

Private Secy. Repts to Mr. Amoye Rao
as follows:—

The Dewan has recd. your
D.O. ^{letter} of 2nd Nov & 16 Dec 95 and has

I am directed ^{me} to inform you in
reply that he must decline to
~~receive or~~ take any notice of
D.O. Correspondence of this kind.

The matters to which you refer,

I am to add, have

~~the formal consideration of~~

been duly considered and
finally disposed of in due
official course and it is
improper to attempt to

reopen them by means ^{such as these}
of semi official letters ^{under}

After sending the reply as above, return
papers to me

by 2/2/96

attended to
KK. 2/2/96.

Note. (6)

3-2-96

Mt. Annaji Rao is one of those inefficient but discontented officials who fancies he has many grievances but of whom the Govt. think that too great leniency has been caused by Private Secs. to reply to his appended D.O. declining to take any notice of them, but it is as well that I should record my views on his various grievances as set forth in his D.O. of 2 Nov. 1895

No. 1. ... The Sampangi land. Mt. Thumbar Chettiar & Mt. Chentral Rao made a full enquiry into this case and decided it against him. Initially they found him guilty of fraud and caused a copy of this decision sent to me in order that I might take the necessary notice of his conduct.

~~Mt. Annaji Rao then made a statement~~
~~to~~ On looking into the matter closely I thought it was just possible that, ~~Kristna Rao~~ in the matter of the alleged promise of a share to Shere Khan & Annaji Chetty, there might have been an honest misunderstanding between Kristna Rao & Annaji Rao, the former understood what the latter did not mean to convey in express terms. Moreover Annaji Rao ...
ceding to buy the land with the knowledge of the Dy. Commr under such circumstances I did not

regard that any special punishment of this official was called for, though I could not interfere to modify the remarks regarding fraud made by the Councilors in their decision.

Whether there was or was not intentional fraud on the part of Annaji Rao, his Agent Krishna Rao's action has the effect of perpetrating an actual fraud in that would-be bidders were thereby dissuaded from bidding.

Under such cir^{cs} when a review of the decision was applied for, I directed that the same should be refused - (Vide order of 17 June 1893).

Annaji Rao again approached me praying that I sh^d whitewash him. I made a note of his statement on 24 March 1894, but declined to interfere.

If Annaji Rao is anxious that this matter sh^d be further for^{ward}ed, it can only be by directing a Commission of Enquiry and if that Commission's report sh^d be to find Annaji Rao guilty of fraud, he sh^d be summarily dismissed.

II Refund of mun^l allowance -

This allowance was drawn up^d the orders of Govt. and the Depy Comm^r

9

permission in which Annaji Rao pleads
is of no avail. Annaji Rao's
conduct in ~~conveying~~ ~~submitting~~ to
~~carry out~~ orders disobeys the
order of refund deserved punishment.
The matter cannot now be reopened

III. Annaji Rao failed to qualify
as Asst. Commr. & could have
been removed instead of being
placed among Extra Asst. Comrs.

IV. I have no info. abt the Regt. Mtr

V. This is grossly impertinent.

3.2.96 . 

X X
Suled to 2d (r).

Mr. Anaji Rao's
file (I-Dr. 46-92) is
reported to be with the
2d (r). As it is required
for reference in a matter
which has been dead-
ing for some time past,
the favor of its
return is solicited.
C.

23/8/97

File submitted herewith
B86
24/8/97

Suled to 1st (r).

The President's communi-
-cation on the subject of
the allowance claimed by
Mr. Anaji Rao con-
-tinues to be shown in
his unsundered ^{reference} letter.
The matter was finally
disposed of so far back
as 28th July 192. The Pre

Letter of 23rd October 1994
was ordered to be filed -

On 2nd Feb 1996, the Dewan
declined to reopen the
question, & this was done
officially intimated to
Mr. Samaj Das by
the private Secy. No
order seems now required.

The President may be
asked to ~~omit~~ drop the
reference from his
future lists as the matter
was finally disposed
of long ago. Q.

24-8-97

6964
X Mr. -

Office

24-8-97

Do so, & then re-sub.
- mit the file to the 2nd
C. - Q.

25-8-97

Attended to. The file is
re-submitted as directed. 29/8/97

(3)

2nd November 95
Bangalore

My dear Sir, (5)

I

In the matter of the land
applied for & purchased by
my son under orders of the Deputy
Commissioner Bangalore, the Govt.
was pleased to cancel the sale &
pass unfavourable remarks on
my character & conduct without
any proper enquiry. I requested
over & over again to give me an
opportunity to clear my character
but it was not pleased to do so.

I

In 1893, subsequently, when
I did the duties of the Vice President

for several months & drew the
attached to the office, under the
of the Dy Commissioner & President,
Govt was pleased to direct me to
refund the amount drawn though
the President was responsible for the
expenditure to the Govt. - The next
Dy Commissioner placed my case
before Govt more than once; but
no notice was taken of the matter
till now -

III Following it up, the Government
was pleased, in December last,
to remove my name from the list
as a ~~discontinued student~~

as an extra assistant Commissioner
though I had served as assistant
Commissioner for a period of eight
years, in three districts, in various
capacities & I had put in all more
than 25 years service - Thus my lot
has been one of supercessions, de-
gradation & successive punishments
and I did not expect this from
your hands. It was my misfortune.

✓ ✓ In April 1892, the Registration
work of this district was given to me
It was extra work, and I applied
for an allowance, and the Inspector
General supported it, and
placed the matter before Govt. for
honourable consideration & orders.

After three years, when the work
taken away from my hands, I was
as misfortune will have it, that the
Govt was not pleased, to sanction
allowance - Highly paid officers
the Chief Judge, down to the Amildar
get allowances either for doing/ing
or for doing their own legitimate work
in Bangalore; but in my case, the
concession ^{shown to others} was denied to me - I do
not know whom to represent all these
though my solicitations have met with
only refusals, yet, I venture once more
to ask you to do justice to one in my
position & circumstances.

Hoping you will give me
a hearing & will excuse this intrusion -

I remain, Dear Sir,
Yours sincerely
M. Humai

to the applicant - To the surprise
misfortune of the applicant the
was pleased to cancel the sale on
ground, there was compact and to
pass unfavourable remarks on my
character & conduct in the matter
any proper enquiry - My son put in a
review petition & it was rejected - I
requested Government more than once
to give me an opportunity to clear my
character urging that it is unfair to
'last ones character' ^{& ruin my property} without proper enquiry
My solicitations had no effect and the
matter is still pending before Government -

From 16 December 91, to 31st May 1892,
I did the duties of the Vice President under
orders of the then Dy Commissioner - I drew
the allowance attached to the office up
to the end of April, 1892 under the orders
of the Dy Commr & President my official superior.
My predecessors in office drew the allowance &
my successor is now drawing the allowance -

Govt however was pleased to direct me to refund the allowance drawn by me, on the ground that the President had no authority to disburse the allowance to me - I represented that I had simply acted up to the orders of my official superior & was not to blame in the matter - My representation had no effect & I was obliged to refund the amount - Mr. Shujaat Ali Khan, however, took up the matter and kindly recommended to Govt more than once for a favorable consideration & orders - And the subject is still pending before the Government without final orders -

I was appointed Assistant Commissioner in June 1886; and I continued so up to November 1894, for a period of more than eight years -

The Government was
my name from
List of Extra A
it will be seen has been one of
successions & punishments & finally
degradation though I have faithfully
and honestly served the Government for
upwards of 25 years -

You are aware that very many
officers have received, liberal and
generous treatment at the hands of
the Govt; and I do not know whom to
represent these things just now - I therefore
request that you will be pleased to look
into the papers connected with the above
cases & do justice to one in my position &
circumstances -

Kindly Excuse me for taking up your
valuable time with this representation -

I am, Yours sincerely
P. N. Krishnamurthy Esq. M. Humaid
Councillor

Singapore 16th December 95

Section	M-2
File No.	14-41 (4)
Serial No.	22

My dear Sir,

I wrote and represented my case to Mr. Thumbutty because he decided the land and municipal allowance cases against me - He was pleased to write back & say that I should address the Government in the matter - Similarly I represented the case to Mr. Krishnamoorthi but he was kind enough to tell me that he would consult you & do what he could in the matter -

To represent the matter

Government
 channel I have to
 Dy Commissioner to help
 me - In the face of the fact order
 that I am a dishonorable and
 fraudulent man it is difficult
 to convince him I am an ag-
 grieved party / & seeing that
 no notice was taken of the same
 representations made by the
 late Mr Shayekh ali on my
 behalf one is hardly prepared
 to press the fact to reopen the
 question & do justice to me - Hence
 I take this opportunity to
 trouble you again about my
 case & request you to do justice to me -
 I remain
 Yours sincerely
 M. Humair Rao

To
 Sir K. Seshadri Iyer
 Dewan
 Mysore

1892/96 6802
L. 2372
IN OF M. S.

From The President
City Municipality
Bangalore

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Govt. of Mysore.

Dated 7 February 1896

Despatched

Received

Enclosure No. 1

General No. 81
No.

Forwarding for favorable
consideration a letter
from Mr. Annaji Rao in
the grant of Municipal allowance
due to him for having done
the duties of the Vice President
from 16 Dec 91 to 31 May 1892.

L7

References to be marked.

The file was sent to the
2nd Committee who called
for the records in connection
with a D. O. representative
made to him by Mr
Annaji Rao.

17/2/96

Subj. to Mr. Committee.

No. 81
En: 1 & 0.

(9)

Municipal Office
Bangalore

Dated 7. 2. 96.

(8)

To The General Secretary:
the Government of
Mysore

Sir,

In continuation of this
Office letter no: 42 of 22nd Octo-
ber 1894, I have the honor
to forward herewith for favo-
rable consideration and
orders a D.O. letter from Mr.
Annapuram asking me to
move the Government to grant
him the Municipal allowance
of Rs ⁴⁵¹⁻⁷⁻¹⁰ ~~551-7-10~~ due to him for
having done the duties of the
Vice President from 16th Dec-
-ember 1891 to 31st May 1892.

2. The duties of the Vice President of the Bangalore City are not light enough to consider that a Treasury Officer may conveniently attend to them.

From the marginally noted letter to the Ch. Secretary addressed by my predecessor, it will be seen how strongly that Officer felt the reasonableness of the claim of Mrs. Annappi Ram for the allowance.

The mistake seems to have originated in this office, at first in not obtaining the sanction of the Government for the continuance of the allowance to Mrs. Annappi Ram and what is worse, in

Letter No 68 of 9th July 1892
do — 83 of 8th September 1892

in not making him under-
-stand that he would draw
no allowance for this Extra
work by reason of the
appropriation of the allowance
towards the pay of the Exe-
-cutive Engineer. This
Representation to Govern-
-ment was not known to
Mr. Anaspi Kan and he
was led to put himself
to Extra Expense and main-
-tain Extra Establishment,
under the belief of his get-
-ting the allowance. He
did the work for about
six months, as well as
others, counting that the
allowance passed for him
by the Municipal President
was

was earned by him

It is after all a small
thing for the Government 12
whereas it is a very consi-
-derable amount for
an officer in his position
and circumstances to forego.
It is unnecessary for me to
add anything in the matter
when the late Mr. Sheriff
Ali Khan has so forcibly and
clearly represented his
case in the matter of the
Municipal allowance. I
beg therefore to request that
the Government will be
pleased to reconsider
the case and sanction
the

the allowance claimed

(13) I have the honor to
Sir


Your most obedient

Service
Wm. M. Mearns

President—
—

by
top

25th January/96
Gl. No. 3941



GOVERNMENT OF INDIA
No. 114-672
Serial No. 36
My dear Sir, (7)

I did the Vice Presidents' duties from the 16th December 91 to the 31st May 1892 under orders of the then Dy Commissioner & President Mr Madhavabao and drew the allowance attached to the office up to the end of April 92 with his permission. A full time Vice President was subsequently

appointed and I was
to refund the allowance
by me - Accordingly I
the amount & represented my case
to your predecessor in office the late
Mr Shyach Alikhan - He has
addressed more than one letter
to Government in the matter asking
the Government to reconsider the matter
& to grant the allowance to me
as is done in the case of my predecessor
in office - No final orders

General Septe
15/2/26

are yet passed. May I request
you now to kindly move the
Government to grant me the
allowance - I remain

Yours sincerely
M. K. M. K. M. K.

To P. N. Purnaya Esq
Dy Commissioner &
President

13th December
Sunday

Section Mun

41-91

35

My dear Sir,

In February last,
Mr Pirnaciya, the then
Commissioner, moved the
Government to grant me
the municipal allowance
due to me, for having
done the duties of the
Vice President, from 16th
December 1891, to 31st May 92.

The
and the matter has
disposed of.

You to kindly
ment to the subject

I enclose copy/
information and re

To V. N. Narasimha Iyer
Dy Commissioner
Bangalore

the Government
yet been finally

now request
attention of the Govern-
ment the allowance due
last reference for your

I am Dear Sir

Yours sincerely
Esq M. M. M. M. M.

4692

(18)

Gen. 31]

5143
1896-97 L²³⁴

From The Dy Commissioner -
Bangalore District.

By order of the Dy Commissioner
To The Secretary to the Govt
of Mysore
Genl & Rev. Departments.
Bangalore
Dated 14th
Despatched 16th } December 1896.
Received

General No.

29 No. 617.

Enclosure No. two

Requesting sanction to the grant
of the usual allowance of Rs.
451-7-10 due to Mr Annaji Rao
Asstt Commr for having done
the duties of the Vice President
from 16th December 1891 to 31st May/92

L¹⁸⁵ 16/12/96

Disposed of Please see Pro
No 2852 of 27/8/97. Eng. 1/9/97

95/96

To D

To

The General Secretary to the
Government of Mysore

of 7.2.96 No 81
10 En 12.0

Sir

In continuation of this office
letter No 42 dated 22nd October 194 I
have the honor to forward herewith
favorable consideration and order
a D. O. letter from Mr. Annaji Rao
asking me to move the Government
to grant him the Municipal allow-
-ance of Rs 451-7-10 due to him for
having done the duties of the -
Vice President from 16th December 1891
to 31 May 1892

The duties of the Vice President
of the Bangalore City are not light
enough to consider that a Treasury
officer may conveniently attend to
them on the contrary, experience has
shown that a separate office of
experience and spending is nec-

to discharge the duties satisfactorily
From the marginally noted letter
to the Chief Secretary addressed by
my predecessor it will be seen how
strangely that officer felt the reasonableness
of the claim of Mr Anaji Rao for the
allowance. The mistake seems to have
originated in this office at first in not
obtaining the sanction of the Government
for the continuance of the allowance
to Mr Anaji Rao and what is worse
in not making him understand that
he would draw no allowance for this
extra work by reason of the appropriation
of the allowance towards the pay of the
Ex Engineer. This representation to Govern-
-ment was not known to Mr Anaji Rao
and he was led to put himself to
extra expense and maintain extra
establishment under the belief of his
getting the allowance. He did the work
for about six months as well as
others counting that the allowance
passed for him by the Municipal
President was earned by him

It is after all a small thing for the
Government whereas it is a ^{very} consi-
-derable amount for an officer in his

No 6
July 1

No 83

September

(21)

position and circumstances to forego -
It is unnecessary for me to add -
anything in the matter when the late
Mr. Shajid Ali Khan has so forcibly
and clearly represented his case in the
matter of the Municipal allowance.
I beg therefore to request that the
Government will be pleased to
reconsider the case and sanction
the allowance claimed

I have &c
Signed / P. N. Purnanya
President

"True copy"

T. T. Purnanya
Secretary

No. 617
En. two

Dy: Commissioner's Office

From, 92 Bangalore 14th December 1896
16th (10)

The Dy: Commissioner,
Bangalore District

To,

The Secretary to the
Govt. of Mysore,
Rev: Genl. Depts,
Bangalore

Sir,

I have the honor to forward, for such orders as the Government may consider necessary, the accompanying D. O. letter of the 13th Instant from Mr. Anaji Rao, Assistant Commissioner, requesting for early disposal of his claim for the arrears of allowance due to him for the time he acted as Vice-President of the Bangalore City Municipality, which was submitted to Government by my predecessors in the correspondence ending with Mr. Purnaiya's letter No. 81 dated 7th February 1896.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Yours most obedient servant,

P. S. Ramiah
for Dy: Commissioner
P. S.

Q. Who is an
of considerable
standing cannot
be representative of the
proper channel of
communication
between ourselves
and Govt. - J

Received
7-11-95

7-11-95

The orders are
distinct & I
want the paper.
I shall glad
of the review &
will take action
to submit them
without further
remarks - I
am afraid the

Office is full of only
style - Mother
glad if instead
of them they singula
rips paper sheets
are used for notes
& folders - Hope
the staff will
will see that
the office has
this instruction
in mind

10/11/95

Two files relating to
Mr. M. Annapo Rao,
with the Private Note
of yesterday's date
are herewith subd
to the 2nd Councillor

W
4/2/96

Please see P^{ro}

94

L268

(General Serial No. 30.) $\frac{7899}{19-1-01}$

no $\frac{2552}{5771.96}$ of 27.8.97 (class 13)

1900/1901 (13)

in file below

may the of for
attention has drawn to that and
to send him a copy of it ^{eng}

at 8 cher ^{eng} 4/2/01 3-2-01

Drafted 4/2/01

File No.	
Serial No.	

From
The Dy Commissioner
the Bangalore District
The Secretary, to the
Government of Mysore
Rev & Comd Deptt

Dated 14th
Despatched 18th } January 1901
Received

General No. _____ } 190
D No. 1, _____

Enclosures Number. One Copy

This office letter No. 619 of the
14th Decembs 1896.

Submits a copy of letter dated
the 19th January 1901 received from
Mr. Amaji Rao, Asst Commr,
regarding the municipal alli-
ance due to him

0.22.01

21/1/01

Submitted. Final order
has been made

D No 1114 -
to me (29)

Pargana District
Dy Commissioner's Office
Bangalore 17th January 1901

To,

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Rev and Genl. Departments

Sir,

With reference to the
correspondence ending with this office—
letter No 617 dated the 14th December 1896,
I have the honor to forward, herewith,
copy of a reminder dated this day, received
from Mr M Amagi Rao, Assistant Commissioner,
en

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant

Ch Venkat Saran

Dy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

17th January

My dear Sir,

May I invite your kind attention to the correspondence ending with Mr V N Narasimha Iyengar's letter No. 11 dated the 14th December 1896 to the address of the Secretary to the Government, on the subject of the municipal allowance due to me and request the favor of your sending this in view the matter may be disposed of favorably by the Government.

I am

Yours sincerely

(sd) M. Anaji Rao

Treasurer Officer

To

The Dy. Commissioner

Mysore District

Cc.

[Signature]

Dy. Commissioner,
Mysore District.

matter embodied in Proff

no 2852 dated the 27th Aug 1897
L. 71-96

(95)

1897 a copy of which

is herewith forwarded for

reference Govt desire to
repeal the ~~existing~~ now.

By
6-2-01-

Secy
Eng 4/2/01

To

The Dy. Commr. Bangalore Distt
with copy of Pro no 2852 of 27.8.97
L. 71-96

3290
L. F. 46-92

(29)

Section Mun. Bd.
File No. 14-91
Serial No. 37 MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,
LOCAL BOARDS BRANCH.
The 2/3/1904

ENDORSEMENT granted to M. Annaji Rao,
Bangalore City,

in reply to his Petition Registered No. 1300 dated the 18th Decr '03
addressed to the Dewan, praying that his papers
in connection with the Municipal allowance may

~~Petitioner is informed that~~
he sent for and the prayers therein con-
sidered ~~may~~ be granted.

M. Annaji Rao is informed that
the matter has been so often decided by
Government disallowing his claim that the
~~it cannot now be re-opened.~~
~~Dewan requests he cannot reopen it now~~

By order

[Signature]

[Signature] 27/2/04
25/2/04. By Order,
[Signature] 25/2/04.

Secretary.

30

Cohn

16 Jan'y 1893

My dear Sir,

In reply to your D.O. of the 11th Instt & reminder of the 14th asking that the Comptroller's requisition for a refund of the Vulture allowance drawn by you may be held in abeyance pending disposal of the representation which you have made to Govt. I am directed to say that the ~~above~~ provisions of the Civil Account Code contained in clause 3 Rule 16 are clear and peremptory and therefore I am ~~to~~ request that you will act at once according to the Comptroller's orders.

The orders of Govt. on your representation will be communicated to you in due course and cannot be prejudiced by your complying with the Comptroller's request in the case.

I am your friend
 Wm J. Anderson

To. Dr. *William Allen Eggen*
John *Amos. Brown*

" CASHION Donal
 file No 151-23
 serial No 64

7/5/34 (copy of this was
 retained in office for
 reference).

No 3442 8/14/34
2746-74

are original letter.

10000

memo

Endorsement granted
 to Mr. Anandji Rao, Bangalore
 in reply to his letter
 No. R 1934 of the 4th Instant
 requesting that the D.O. to him
 of the Chief Secretary Mr.
 Ananda Rao to his address
 dated the 16th January 1893
 submitted to Govt for refer
 may be returned to him.

As requested by Mr. Anandji Rao
 in his letter of 11th March 1904
 The Chief Secretary's
 D.O. letter of the 16th January
 1893 is herewith returned
 as requested.

Offg. Secy's
 order

7/5/34
 7/13/34
 Am Offg. Secy
 11/9/34

now
peer
member
of
20.11.00
S. P. N. Krishnamurti M. A. I. E.

Dewan of Mysore

Section Mysore Bangalore
No. K1-91
Serial No. 94 L/380

My papers in connection
with the Municipal allowance
are pending with the
Government for a long time
and I have not been for-
tunate enough to get back
the money I paid to Govern-
ment. You were so kind
& good as to say that you
will settle it soon. May

I now venture respectfully
to request you to call for
the papers and - grant my
prayers contained therein

Hoping that this will
meet with an indulgent eye -

I remain - Sir

With most profound regards

Your obedient servant

M. Munajib

18 December
1903
Bangalore

Section Misc

Sl. No. 11-9-01

Serial No. 13

2966

X 346

5.6

1892-9-18901/1902

FROM

M S Narayan Rao Esq

Dy Commissioner

Bangalore District

To

H. V. Narayana Rao Esq

Secy to the Govt of Mysor

Chowdary

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No.

No.

Enclosure No

Submitted to Secy.

Please see Serial No 1
of 2746-92 in which
matter has been finally
closed off - also Serial
13 of 2771-96 in which
President's letter was
sent to be removed from
list of unanswerd reqs.

3
19/1/07 I do not see
any other reason
on that can be urged
for reopening the matter
than that Mr. A. Rao
has retired. The per-
severance however
is not such a claim
as has been urged after
repeated refusals, is
perhaps excusable & may
be admissible in the appli-
cation to the Dy Commr
need not have stood sponsor
of such enterprise

Government Proceedings
No 10738 of the 19th Feb
274692
1901

The question considered
of the Govt order declining the
grant of Municipal allowance
to Mr. M. Anaji Rao, Treasurer
Asst Commr for his having
been in charge of the
President's office of the
Mysore District

11-9-01
12/11
17-9-01
18/9
19/9
20/9
21/9
22/9
23/9
24/9
25/9
26/9
27/9
28/9
29/9
30/9
1/10
2/10
3/10
4/10
5/10
6/10
7/10
8/10
9/10
10/10
11/10
12/10
13/10
14/10
15/10
16/10
17/10
18/10
19/10
20/10
21/10
22/10
23/10
24/10
25/10
26/10
27/10
28/10
29/10
30/10
31/10
1/11
2/11
3/11
4/11
5/11
6/11
7/11
8/11
9/11
10/11
11/11
12/11
13/11
14/11
15/11
16/11
17/11
18/11
19/11
20/11
21/11
22/11
23/11
24/11
25/11
26/11
27/11
28/11
29/11
30/11
1/12
2/12
3/12
4/12
5/12
6/12
7/12
8/12
9/12
10/12
11/12
12/12
13/12
14/12
15/12
16/12
17/12
18/12
19/12
20/12
21/12
22/12
23/12
24/12
25/12
26/12
27/12
28/12
29/12
30/12
31/12

Uto. 267

Jan 2 1892
Dun

Bangalore District
Dy. Commissioner's Office
Bangalore 30th August 1901.

M. S. Karapur (Pai) Esq
Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

To

H. K. Hanumanthappa Esq., M.A., M.D.,
Secretary to the Government
of Mysore
Revenue Department.

Sir,

With reference to a resolution
No 10738 of the 19th
February 1901, declining to re-open
the question of the grant of a
capital allowance to Mr. P. S. Srinani
Rao, Deputy Assistant Commissioner
of this District, & his having been
in charge of the District.

(35)

Office of the Banpalne City M.
-Cipality, I have the honor to submit
herewith, for orders the Demi Offi-
-cial letter from that officer soli-
-citing a reconsideration of the above
matter under the circumstances
explained therein.

I have the honor to be
Sir

Your Most Obedient
unwilling servant

Bangalore ⁽²⁶⁰⁾ 15th August¹⁹⁰¹

My dear Sir,

I had to do
the duties of the Vice President
of the Bangalore City Muni-
cipality from 16 December¹⁸⁹¹
to 31st May 1892, under orders
of the then Dy Commissioner &
President H. S. P. Madhaviah
& drew the allowance attached
to the office to the end of April 92
The Government was however
pleased to direct me to refund
the allowance drawn by me
on the ground that the Presi-
dent had no authority to
disburse the allowance to

I represented my case to the
Government - And I was
compelled to refund the
amount -

Mr Shyamb Ali,
Mr Purnaiya & Mr V. N.
Narasimha Iyengar successively
recommended my hard case -
to Government for a favourable
reconsideration & orders - On
the 17 January last I approached
the Government with a prayer
that the matter might be disposed
of - On the 19 February, 1901 the Govt.
was pleased to say that the matter
had been finally disposed of so
far back as the 28 July, 1892 and
that therefore Government decline
to reopen the question now - (vide Govt.
Order No ¹⁰⁷³⁸₂₅₄₆₋₉₂ Dated Bangalore 19 Feb., 1901)

I maybe allowed to say
that my predecessors & successors
in office have all enjoyed the
allowance & I was the only
unfortunate man to refund
what was given me by my
official superior for actual
work done - I submit herewith
a D.O. letter of the Chief Secretary
dated the 16 January, 1893 to me
which will show that the head
of the Government was kindly
disposed then to reconsider
the matter favourably -

I request & therefore - that
you will be so good, as to
place the matter before you.

that the Noblemen - at the
head of it may deal with
it as he thinks just and
proper -

I remain

Yours sincerely
W. A. A. A. A.

Mr. S. Narayan Rao Esq/
Dy Commissioner
Bangalore

Forwarded with
enclosure
with 4/5
reference to
reference
great
26/11/92.

(37)

Recd.

Letter no 267 dated the

on _____

30th August 1907 from the President of the Municipal Commission, Bangalore City, submitting for orders the demer official letter addressed to him by Mr Annaji Rao, Treasurer, Assistant Commissioner soliciting a reconsideration of the orders on the subject of granting him the allowance for his having been in charge of the Vice-President's office of the Bangalore City Municipality -

R.L. 531.

5782-83
L.7 46-92 7/4/10/1907 Order thereon

Govt decline to reopen a question disposed of so far back as 1892

in their order no. 1515-6
L7.45-92
dated the 28th July 1892 -

3rd Consider
27/5-9-01

WJ 2/10/92
For Secty
HK
18.9.01

To

The Presb. Mt. Comm.,
Bangalore City &
Oy. Comm. Bangalore

think eight notes only